# 



# Biodiversity Footprint Assessment

Assessment of Vattenfall's biodiversity footprint using the Global Biodiversity Score (GBS).





## Vattenfall Biodiversity Footprint Assessment: Summary sheet

### CONTEXT

#### WHY?

Quantify where biodiversity impacts occur to prioritize areas for action. Comply with step 1 and 2 in the SBTN framework.

Extent of assessment: Corporate level

#### FOR WHO?

Strategic decisions, internal

and external communication.

BIODIVERSITY FOOTPRINT ASSESSMENT

WHAT?

End-to-end (scope 1, 2 and 3 upstream). For Scope 3, main focus has been on supply chain impacts.

Assessment year: 2020

### About Vattenfall

Vattenfall is one of Europe's largest producers and retailers of electricity and heat and is 100 % owned by the Swedish state.



\*LUEFN= Land Use, Encroachment, Fragmentation and atmospheric Nitrogen deposition.

Pressures:		LUEFN*	Climate change	Aquatic
Scope 1		×	×	×
Scope 2		$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$
Scope 3	Tier 1	<b>~</b>	×	✓
	Rest of value chain	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	Downstream		×	

#### DATA COLLECTED Source Not included Turnover data Total net sales Vattenfall provided the spends per procurement category Procurement data for fuels Procurement data Land use pressure data wind Land use data (e.g. from GIS) Land use data for gas and offshore Climate change pressure data Water withdrawn Emission data for all scopes CO 2eq or CO 2 Impacts on marine biodiversity Water use pressure data Water withdrawal and water consumption Ecotoxicity data Air emissions data (mercury) Other ecotoxic substances Mining data Hard coal consumption (in MWh) Oil and das data Oil and natural gas consumption (in MWh) Energy crops (Germany/Poland) Crop data Product data Uranium, peat, waste (as fuel), biomass, blast furnace gas Electricity data Purchased electricity

RESULTS

### **Global biodiversity footprint**

Terrestrial static 1200 MSA.km<sup>2</sup>

Terrestrial dynamic 90 MSA.km<sup>2</sup>

#### Aquatic static 1600 MSA.km<sup>2\*</sup>

Vertically integrated (Scope 1, 2, 3 upstream).

\*Due to historical conversion of rivers with hydropelectric dams. The hydropower module is still experimental, and results should not be considered with the same accuracy as the terrestrial impacts.

### Climate change impacts

When assessing how our biodiversity footprint increased every year (i.e. dynamic impacts due to our operations and growing business), the results show that 95% of the total impacts comes from greenhouse gas emissions and impacts are connected to all scopes. Climate change is therefore the main single contributor to biodiversity loss for terrestrial dynamic impacts.

#### Vattenfall's terrestrial static biodiversity footprint<sup>3</sup>, in MSA.km<sup>2</sup>

Scope 1: Land use impacts from own operations

Power line corridors	328
Properties surrounding hydropower stations	27
ndustrial sites	19
Onshore wind farms	6



#### KEY MESSAGES

#### > Historic land transformation

The main historical land transformation (i.e. static impacts) is caused by land occupation. Around 30% is due to our own operations, mainly linked to land occupation needed for the distribution grids. In addition, there is an almost equally large static land use footprint from sourcing of fuels, mainly linked to biomass and gas.

#### Aquatic static impacts

Aquatic static impacts are also material, mainly through hydrological disturbance linked to hydro power operations. However, data refinements are required e.g. since the hydropower module in Global Biodiversity Score tool is still experimental and needs further development.

